

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

224

CRM-M-31573-2021

Date of decision : 07.10.2021

GURPREET SINGH AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present : Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No. 1 – State.

Mr. Tejwinder Singh Hundal, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of the the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for quashing of FIR No.10 dated 09.04.2021 registered under Sections 498-A and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'the IPC') in Police Station PS Women, District Bathinda to which Section 354 A of the IPC was added later on with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 27.07.2021 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

The above said FIR was registered on complaint made by respondent No. 2- Rajbir Kaur. In the complainant, respondent No. 2 alleged that her marriage was solemnized with Gurpreet Singh on 11.11.2018 in accordance with Sikh rites and ceremonies. The accused persons made dowry demands on various occasions as mentioned in the

complaint and treated her with cruelty for not meeting their dowry demands and criminally misappropriated her dowry articles. The accused persons in connivance with each other gave beating to her and thrown her out from the matrimonial house due to not fulfilling dowry demand.

Vide order dated 06.08.2021, Co-ordinate Bench of this Court directed the private parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 06.09.2021 for recording of their statements with regard to compromise/settlement and directed the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to submit a report regarding the genuineness and voluntary nature of the compromise.

In compliance with the above said order, learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Bathinda has recorded the statements of both the parties and submitted report vide letter No. 239 dated 09.09.2021, duly forwarded by the learned District and Sessions Judge, Bathinda vide letter No. 1162 dated 10.09.2021.

A perusal of the report of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Bathinda clearly reveals that the matter has been compromised by the parties with their free consent, voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

I have heard learned Counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

Learned State Counsel has no objection in case the FIR along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom is quashed on the basis of compromise effected between the parties.

The offences involved in the present case are

overwhelmingly and predominantly of private character. The parties have resolved their entire dispute. The compromise will also contribute to peace and harmony in the society. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and FIR No.10 dated 09.04.2021 registered under Sections 498-A and 506 of the IPC in Police Station PS Women, District Bathinda to which Section 354 A of the IPC was added later on is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

07.10.2021

kavneet singh

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No