

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.215

CRM-M-31235-2021

Date of decision: 30.09.2021

Govind

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sumit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.329 dated 13.11.2020, registered under Sections 420/467/468/471/120-B IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, District Bhiwani, Haryana.

Briefly stated, the case of the prosecution is that the petitioner got his date of birth rectified through a forged judgment and decree sheet of Civil Judge (Junior Division), Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner who is a young man aged 28 years has been falsely implicated in this case; he has no other criminal case pending against him; in fact the petitioner is victim at the hands of the co-accused Parmanand and Jaidev Singla, who misguided him; the petitioner was completely unaware of the alleged forgery of the orders/judgments of the Trial Court; investigation in the case is complete and therefore, the petitioner is not in a position to influence the same; during the course of investigation, the petitioner has fully cooperated with the investigating agency and has also given his sample signatures and that the petitioner's trial, which is yet to begin, is likely to take a long time to conclude.

Learned State counsel opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner has used forged orders/judgments of the Trial Court.

Whether the petitioner was unaware that the orders/judgments of the Trial Court submitted by him were forged would be considered during his trial. However, the petitioner is a young man aged 28 years; he has no other criminal case pending against him; investigation in the case is complete and that the petitioner's trial, which is yet to begin, is likely to take a long time to conclude.

In view of the above, the present case is considered to be a fit one in which the petitioner be directed to be released on regular bail. Resultantly, subject to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Bhiwani, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail.

It is clarified that the above observations have been made only for the limited purpose of deciding the present regular bail application and the same would not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

September 30, 2021
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No