

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.-M No.25623 of 2020  
Date of Decision: February 15, 2021

Amarjeet Singh Dangi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. N.S.Shekhawat, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)**

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.334 dated 07.06.2018, under Sections 167, 409, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, 1860 and Section 13(1)(d)(ii) Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station, Hisar Civil, District Hisar. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 09.09.2020, whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

**“CRM-22396-2020**

*Application is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.  
Annexures P-8 and P-9 are taken on record.*

CRM-M-25623-2020

*Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a departmental enquiry was also held on the same allegations, which are the subject matter of the present FIR No.334 dated 07.06.2018, wherein it was concluded that the action of the petitioner was without any mala fide intention, however, he caused a loss of Rs. 21,537/- to the Nigam. According to him the co-accused, who is similarly situated, has been granted the concession of interim pre-arrest bail vide order dated 04.09.2020 (Annexure P-9) passed by this Court in CRM-M-25879-2020, therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be necessary in the given facts.*

*Notice of motion for 03.12.2020.*

*Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*

*To be heard along with CRM-M-25879-2020.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the police authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel, who is assisted by DSP Bharti Dabas, does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel, on instructions, further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 09.09.2020 is made absolute.

**February 15, 2021**  
**ramesh**

**( MANOJ BAJAJ )**  
**JUDGE**

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <b>Whether speaking/reasoned</b> | <b>Yes/No</b> |
| <b>Whether Reportable:</b>       | <b>Yes/No</b> |