

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.-M No.25879 of 2020

Date of Decision: February 15, 2021

Anil Dhiman

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. N.S.Shekhawat, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.334 dated 07.06.2018, under Sections 167, 409, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, 1860 and Section 13(1)(d)(ii) Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station, Hisar Civil, District Hisar. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 04.09.2020, whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations contained in the FIR were looked into through a departmental enquiry and nothing was found against the petitioner. According to him, as per the reports (Annexure P-

2), no loss was suffered by the department.

Notice of motion for 03.12.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. “

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the police authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel, who is assisted by DSP Bharti Dabas, does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel, on instructions, further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 04.09.2020 is made absolute.

February 15, 2021
ramesh

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No