

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7345-2021

Date of decision : 26.10.2021

Veena Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Parvesh Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. A.K. Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Criminal Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that a perusal of the reply of the State would show that the statements of the petitioners have been recorded who have specifically stated that the matter has been settled with their parents and at present, they have no threat from the parents and they do not need any protection and thus states that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, it is made clear that in case, the petitioners feel any threat perception to their life and liberty in future, they can move representation before respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, who after seeing threat perception to their life and liberty, take appropriate action in accordance with law.

26.10.2021

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No