

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-7227-2021
Date of decision: 04.08.2021**

Ram Naresh Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Anoop Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

(through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

By way of filing the present petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner is seeking protection to his life and liberty at the hands of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No. 4 is the ex-wife of the petitioner and respondent No. 5 is her relative. It is further submitted that in the year 2016, the marriage of the petitioner with respondent No. 4 was dissolved by way of a decree of divorce.

Learned counsel further submits that now respondent No. 4 has started claiming share in the property of the petitioner and in this regard, on 20.07.2021, she came to the house of the petitioner and demanded the share in the property. In this regard, the petitioner has given a representation (Annexure P-2) to S.S.P., S. A. S. Nagar but no action has been taken.

A perusal of the aforesaid representation would reveal that except of saying that respondent No. 4 is demanding share in the property or some amount in cash, nothing is stated about committing of a cognizable

offence, for which any direction can be issued to police authorities concerned.

Needless to say, the petitioner has a remedy of filing a suit for permanent injunction before the civil Court against respondent Nos. 4 and 5 if they are demanding any illegal share in his property.

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

04.08.2021

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No