

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.223

CRR No.283 of 2014 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 19.07.2021

SHEELA

.....Petitioner

Versus

LAKHAN KHATANA

.....Respondent

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

resent:- Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate
for the revisionist-petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bawa, Advocate
for respondent.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, it is worthwhile to mention here that vide the order dated 21.01.2020 passed by this Court, the petitioner had been directed to deposit the entire compensation amount with the trial Court within a period of one month from that day and the trial Court was directed to get the same converted into some STDR, in some nationalized bank fetching the maximum rate of interest and it was also specifically further observed therein that in case of the non-compliance of the said order in its letter and spirit, this revision would entail dismissal for want of prosecution.

Concededly, the petitioner has not complied with the above-discussed direction given to her vide the said order and has not deposited the

entire compensation amount with the trial Court.

Though, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner could not deposit the said amount due to financial hardship but this Court cannot lose sight of the fact that almost one and a half year has lapsed since the said order was passed. It being so, the afore-discussed explanation cannot be taken to be a cogent and plausible one.

In these circumstances, the present revision petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

July 19, 2021
mani

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No