

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.116

**CWP No.14592 of 2021**

**DATE OF DECISION: August 04, 2021**

**GURPREET SINGH**

**..PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

**...RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner's grievance is that even though the Court of Additional District Judge, Panchkula has decided the dispute regarding title vide judgment and decree dated 28.07.2016, mutation is not being entered on its basis. Although appeal is pending, there is no stay of the said judgment and decree. In this regard, representations dated 18.06.2020 (Annexure P-8) and dated 05.12.2020 (Annexure P-10) have also been submitted but to no avail.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents No.1 to 4.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to seek a reply from the respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to decide the pending representations dated 18.06.2020 (Annexure P-8) and dated 05.12.2020 (Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**August 04, 2021**  
*Ankur*

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |