

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.110

CRM-M No.30820 of 2021

Date of Decision: 04.08.2021

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would be availing the alternative efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, to seek the redressal of his grievance, as canvassed in this petition.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case, in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

04.08.2021

neetu

Whether speaking/reasoned

No

Whether Reportable

No