

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30569-2021(O&M)
Date of decision: 25.08.2021

Bhupesh Sharma @ Bhuvesh Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Gurmeet Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. AG Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Sekhon, Advocate for respondent No. 2-complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Copy of custody certificate by way of affidavit dated 24.08.2021, of the Superintendent, District Jail, Sri Muktsar Sahib, submitted by the learned State counsel through email, is taken on record.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 205 dated 18.11.2016, registered under Sections 420 and 34 IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been involved in the present case; that the petitioner has nothing to do

with the alleged crime and that the petitioner has never allured the complainant to get appointed his wife on a government job i.e. to the post of a Welfare Officer. It is further submitted that there is an unexplained delay in lodging the FIR.

He further submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 18.05.2021 and that a compromise was effected between the parties on 13.07.2021.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2-complainant does not dispute the factum of compromise between the parties.

Learned State counsel points out that there are specific allegations against the petitioner that he has defrauded the complainant to the tune of Rs. 23 lakh to get appointed his wife to the post of the Welfare Officer. He further submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender and as many as six other FIRs stand registered against him.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is involved in as many as six other cases. Thus, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is a habitual offender having brazen criminal antecedents, he does not deserve concession of the regular bail.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

25.08.2021
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No