

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

230

CRM-25901-2021 in/and  
CRM-M-30780-2021  
Date of Decision : 30.11.2021

Lal Pari @ Pushpa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral**

**CRM-25901-2021**

The present application is dismissed having been rendered  
infructuous.

**CRM-M-30780-2021**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C.  
for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.231 dated  
23.06.2021 registered under Sections 323, 342, 379-B, 384, 388, 389, 506  
and 120-B of the IPC at Police Station Sadar Sirsa, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the allegations  
alleged in the FIR, on the face of it are false and nothing has come on  
record, as to why, the petitioner will blackmail the complainant so as to  
extort money from him. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

investigation is already over and the challan has also been presented and as the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, therefore, she may kindly be extended the benefit of regular bail.

Learned State counsel submits that the allegations against the petitioner and the co-accused are serious in nature and one gold ring belonging to the complainant, has been recovered from the petitioner, which prima facie shows that the petitioner was blackmailing the complainant along with other co-accused.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

As the investigation is already over and the challan has also been presented and the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes, no justifiable purpose will be achieved by keeping the petitioner, who is a 51 years old lady, behind the bars, especially, when learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that the petitioner will maintain good conduct while on bail and will not obstruct the trial or influence the witnesses, whose statements are yet to be recorded, in any manner. In case of default of the above undertaking, the State will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, it is directed that the petitioner be released on regular bail, subject to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the

case.

November 30, 2021  
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No