

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2021/02 19:10
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRWP-7148-2021

Date of decision : 2.8.2021

Charanjeet Kaur

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. K.S. Dargan, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, AAG Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

-.-

-.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

This petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of Consitution of India seeking directions to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of petitioner from private respondents.

It is the case of petitioner that her marriage was performed with respondent No. 4 about 8 years ago. They have a son from said marriage. The in-laws of petitioner since from beginning used to maltreat, beat and harass her. A number of times, panchayats were convened. They felt apologetic for same and assured that no incident would happen. However, despite that private respondents continued to harass, maltreat and beat the petitioner. Even parents and other family members of petitioner did not come to her aid. Left with no option, petitioner shifted to house of one Sandeep Singh and started living there. Thereafter, private respondents number of times came to house of Sandeep Singh and beat him. They have threatened the petitioner that if she does not rejoin her husband, she would have to face dire consequences. The petitioner apprehend danger to her life and liberty at the hands of private respondents. In this regard, petitioner has submitted a representation (Annexure P-2) addressed amongst others to respondent No. 2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, Punjab).

Without in anyway commenting anything on the merits of case and opining on the veracity of allegations contained in said representation (Annexure P-2), this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to

CRWP-7148-2021

-2-

look into same in accordance with law.

It is clarified that this order is limited to provide protection to the life and liberty of the petitioner. It does not affect in any manner the rights/obligations of the petitioner in regard to her subsisting marriage.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

2.8.2021
sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No