

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

237

CRM-M-30706-2021 (O & M)
Date of Decision: November 10, 2021

**SAURBH PALANWAL @
SUMIT SHARMA @ PASSU**

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.A.D.S. Jatana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.14 dated 16.02.2021, under Sections 419, 420 IPC and 66-D of the I.T. Act (Sections 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged that the petitioner had prepared fake email ID which was used by the co-accused Krishan Kumar to dishonestly induce the complainant into depositing a huge amount for purchasing a property which was a stressed asset. He, however, contends that the petitioner is a Uber driver. A copy of the request for police verification of the petitioner sent by Uber India System Private Limited is annexed as Annexure P-2. He is a sole bread winner of his family which comprises of his parents, wife and 04 year old child. He is in custody for over 08 months. He does not have any criminal antecedents.

Learned State counsel has filed custody certificate which indicates that the petitioner is in custody for 08 months and 19 days. He upon instructions from Inspector Bijender Singh states that the challan has been filed but charges are yet to be framed. He contends that a sum of ₹8,50,000/- has been recovered from the petitioner. He also contends that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of regular bail.

Heard.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioner is in custody for over 08 months, he does not have any criminal antecedents, Covid 19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 10, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No