

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

220a

CRM-M-25852-2020

Date of decision : 23.03.2021.

Sunil Kumari

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Singh Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he is confining the prayer in this petition to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members as she had lodged an FIR against the accused. He also contends that the petitioner shall be preferring a representation to Superintendent of Police, Rohtak-respondent No.3 who may be directed to consider the same.

Heard through video conferencing.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Rohtak that in the event of the petitioner making a representation, the same shall be looked into and action, if necessary, be taken to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioner and her family members.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

March 23, 2021

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No