

111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
2021.09.02 14:51
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP-14582-2021

Date of Decision: 01.09.2021

SUMIT CHANANA AND ANR

... PETITIONERS

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA , J.(ORAL)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioners seek a *mandamus* directing the respondents to restore the allotment of plot No. 45 (shop-cum-office with basement three story (CCG)) in Sector UEADDPC, Urban Estate, Hisar.

Brief facts that may be noticed are that petitioners had participated in an e-auction process and their bid was accepted for the afore-noticed commercial site. As per terms and conditions, 25% of the bid amount was duly deposited and the remaining 75% i.e. Rs.1,42,36,578/- was to be deposited within 180 days from the date of auction, upto 09.06.2020.

Apparently, petitioners not being in a position to deposit the balance amount sought extension of time citing the Covid Pandemic. Such request was not acceded to.

Petitioners offered to surrender the plot on 05.06.2020.

Such request for surrender was accepted and after forfeiting 10% of the amount deposited by the petitioners, the balance was refunded to them.

Case projected on behalf of the petitioners is that since the situation has eased and the petitioners now have managed to muster adequate finances, the surrendered commercial site be restored to them.

Confronted with a situation where this Court was not inclined to intervene, counsel seeks withdrawal of the writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

01.09.2021
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No