

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.14437 of 2021
Date of Decision:03.08.2021**

Atma Singh

....Petitioner

VS

**Financial Commissioner, (Appeals), Punjab
and another**

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. C.S. Jattana, Advocate for
Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was an applicant for the post of Lambardar. The Deputy Commissioner appointed respondent No.2 despite recommendations of the Tehsildar and SDM in favour of the petitioner. The appeal filed by the petitioner succeeded and the learned Commissioner remanded the case for a fresh decision. The said order has been challenged by respondent No.2 by way of revision petition in January, 2019. The same has not been decided till date.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.1 may be directed to decide the pending revision petition expeditiously.

Notice of motion to respondent No.1 only.

Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to file reply.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the pending revision petition as expeditiously as possible and in any case not later than six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

03.08.2021

Poonam Negi

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No