

CRM-M-29928-2021 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29928-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.07.2021
(Heard through V.C.)**

Ajruddin alias Ajru

... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present Ms. Rosi, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 107 dated 01.05.2019, registered under Sections 376-D, 379-A, 506 of Indian Penal Code and Section 67 A of Information Technology Act, 2000 and Sections 25, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Women, District Nuh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner herein has been falsely implicated in the present case, as the allegations as set out in the FIR are not sustainable. It is argued that nothing is to be recovered from him, therefore, he would no longer be required for any custodial interrogation.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State

CRM-M-29928-2021 (O&M)

opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner while contending that there are serious allegations against the petitioner herein and recovery of his mobile phone, which has been used in the offence is yet to be effected from him.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the pleadings of the case. Admittedly, the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and recoveries are yet to be effected from him. Under these circumstances, once custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required for a result oriented investigation, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. In view of the above, the instant petition is hereby dismissed.

30.07.2021
seema goran

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No