

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

**CRWP-7220-2021
Date of decision : 03.08.2021**

Anjali and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Vikram Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioners, claiming themselves to be aged about 18 and 23 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners, being major, performed marriage on 22.07.2021 at Arya Samaj Mandir Trust (Regd.), Shop No.4, Main Market Near Milan Sweets, Sector-11, Faridabad, as per Hindu rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 10 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G. Haryana. has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In the course of hearing it was observed that in the photocopy of Aadhar Card of petitioner No.1 attached with the petition the month of her birth was not legible. Learned Counsel for the petitioner has sent another copy of Aadhar Card of petitioner No.1 print out of which is taken on record. In the said copy of Aadhar Card date of birth of petitioner No.1 is recorded as 26.02.2003 which shows that the petitioner No.1 is major.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners states that representation dated 23.07.2021 (Annexure P-4) was made to the

Superintendent of Police, Faridabad seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter and prays for issuance of direction in this regard.

Learned State Counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Faridabad to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against petitioner No.2, then nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking of appropriate action by the police authorities against him in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry of this Court is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Faridabad for requisite compliance.

03.08.2021

Kothiyal

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No