

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30069 of 2021

Date of Decision:-07.12.2021

Suraj Bhan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH J.(Oral)

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'pre-arrest bail', upon FIR No. 320 dated 03.7.2020, having been registered at Police Station Azad Nagar, Hisar, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the IPC.

Pursuant to the order dated 3.11.2021, a status report has been filed by the DIG-cum-S.P. Hisar, stating therein that the confusion with regard to Krishan Kumar being the son of the petitioner (Suraj Bhan) arose because Krishan Kumar is the son of Suraj Bhan s/o Arjun, whereas the petitioner Suraj Bhan, is the son of Kartar Singh and has no son.

However, he is stated to be one of the main accused along with

his brother Wazir and Jony @ Gyani Ram.

Learned State counsel points to the disclosure statement of Giani Ram as is annexed with the affidavit of the DIG.

It is seen that other than referring to the petitioner in the initial part thereof as a friend of the other accused, no specific role would be seen to be attributed to the petitioner as regards duping the complainant.

However, obviously that is only an observation made by this Court solely in the context of the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

The aforesaid reply, as also the reply filed by the Additional S.P. Hisar dated 30.10.2021, are ordered to be taken on record.

When the matter is already stated to have been compromised between the parties as per learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view what has been observed here-in-above, and learned State counsel, on instructions from the investigating officer, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation pursuant to the order dated 30.7.2021 and presently his custodial interrogation is not required, the petition is allowed and the order dated 30.7.2021 made absolute, on the same terms and conditions.

December 07, 2021
Vijay Asija

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No