

CWP-14068-2021

Date of decision : July 29, 2021

RAGHBIR

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Addl. AG, Haryana for respondents No. 1, 7 and 8.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 6.

LISA GILL, J.

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

Petitioner in this writ petition seeks compensation as it is claimed that he has been incapacitated due to an accident which took place on 30.06.2018 entirely due to negligence of the respondent – department inasmuch as 11000 KV high voltage power wire were not properly maintained. Another person namely Shital passed away due to electrocution and the present petitioner received severe injuries which have left him totally incapacitated. It is further submitted that legal representatives of Shital have filed CWP-21967-2018 claiming compensation and the said writ petition is pending. It is submitted that family members of the petitioners have approached the authorities a number of times. Representation was also submitted but the petitioner does not have a copy thereof as the same was not retained by his family members. It is, however, asserted that despite representation and personal visits by family members of the petitioner, no action is being taken by

the authorities to release compensation to the petitioner who is finding extremely difficult to meet both ends.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1, 7 and 8. Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 2 to 6.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances, it is deemed appropriate that at the first instance the present writ petition be treated to be a representation on behalf of the petitioner alongwith any pending representations with the authorities and the matter be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner/duly authorised family member expeditiously and preferably within three months from today. It is clarified that there is no expression of opinion on the merits of the matter.

Accordingly, present writ petition is disposed of.

July 29, 2021
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No