

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.124

CWP No.14260 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION: July 30, 2021

DINESH KUMAR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

**DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MAHENDERGARH CAMP AT
NARNAUL, AND ANOTHER**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. R.S. Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner was a candidate for the post of Chowkidar. He is aggrieved because the Collector has appointed respondent No.2 as such vide order dated 13.04.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that vide Resolution dated 19.03.2020 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Gram Panchayat of the concerned village, recommendation was made in favour of the petitioner. The said resolution also shows that the Lambardar is also in favour of the petitioner. However, the same has not been taken into consideration and thus, the impugned order deserves to be set aside.

With the assistance of learned counsel for the petitioner I have gone through the impugned order. A perusal thereof shows that respondent No.2 has been preferred as he is younger than the petitioner and is a

CWP No.14260 of 2021

Graduate and has also been recommended by the Revenue Officers below.

Apart from submitting that the resolution of the Gram Panchayat has not been considered, no perversity has been pointed out in this order. It cannot be said that Resolution dated 19.03.2020 has not been considered by the Collector as the same has been mentioned in the arguments raised by petitioner.

Thus, the writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

July 30, 2021

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No