

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- 26203 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision : 15.1.2021

...

Raju Singh

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Madaan

Present: Mr. Shivam Grover, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition, but a direction be issued to the trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial by giving short adjournments. If a request for adjournment is made by any of the parties, the reason for grant of adjournment be recorded in writing in the interim order and such request be not accepted, except

for justifiable reason. The State shall cause appearance of the PWs on the date(s) fixed by the trial Court. Of course, the accused shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment for cross examination of the PWs and shall render full cooperation for earlier conclusion of the trial. The trial Court is to make earnest efforts to conclude the trial expeditiously, preferably within a period of 8 months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order there.

A copy of order be sent to the Court concerned through District and Sessions Judge, Karnal, for information and necessary compliance.

15.1.2021
chugh

(H.S. Madaan)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes / No

Whether reportable Yes / No