

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14288-2021

Date of decision : 02.08.2021

Ami Lal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

(Through Video Conferencing)

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioners claiming that respondent No.7 was the Mining Licencee of the area which includes some land owned by the petitioners and the petitioners held leased out that land to him to conduct mining operation as per the agreement. However, neither respondent No.7 paid the amount, nor increased it yearly and also violated other conditions of the lease deed by stocking the mined material on the land of the petitioners, are unauthorisingly using the passage and are digging at a depth more than what was prescribed under the contract and the license.

2. In our opinion, these are all the disputed questions of fact which this Court would not enter into.

3. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for permission to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to take alternative remedy as per law.

4. In view of the above, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

5. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

02.08.2021

Pawan

Whether speaking/reasoned:- **Yes/No**

Whether reportable:- **Yes/No**