

URVASHI GOEL VS STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

Present :- Mr. R.S. Kalra , Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. P.S. Bajwa, Addl. AG Punjab.

Learned counsel for the applicant strenuously argues that in the judgment under review, the reasoning contained therein, qua CWP No. 20607 of 2016, neither reflects the arguments addressed on behalf of the petitioner at the time of hearing the main petition, nor even otherwise there is any specific discussion pertaining to the charge-sheet dated 19.11.2014, which led to passing of impugned dismissal order dated 17.06.2016.

Having heard the rival arguments of learned counsel for applicant and learned State counsel, which are nothing but repetitive, it seems that a feeble attempt is once again being made to reopen the case. The facts, reasoning and discussion in common judgment/ order dated 03.12.2019 is not to be read in isolation. The same are to be read in entirety as all four writ petitions were disposed of by common order and judgment.

In any case there is no error apparent on the face of the record, warranting interference under review jurisdiction. Even otherwise, review application suffers from delay and laches. Dismissed.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

15.02.2021
Jiten