

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14031-2021

Date of Decision:27.08.2021

Nisha and others

....Petitioners

VS

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vansh Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Arun Gosain, Advocate
for Union of India

Mr. B.S. Seemar, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

Mr. Anil K. Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 4

Mr. Namit Khanna, Advocate
for the complainant

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Copy of an application on behalf of respondent No. 4 has been submitted in the Court. The same is taken on record.

Registry is directed to number the same and tag it at the appropriate place.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the Statement of Accounts (Annexure R-1) is patently false. It can not be expected that the student would be permitted to continue in the School for two years without payment of requisite fee.

The Statement of Accounts (Annexure R-1) shows that during the Session 2018-19 nothing was paid on behalf of petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2. For the Session 2019-20, an amount of Rs. 5000/- each only was paid.

The said Statement of Accounts has been filed under an affidavit executed by the Principal of the School.

In view of the above, there is a dispute on facts and can not decided in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

The writ petition is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

27.08.2021
reena

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No