

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29628-2021.
Date of Decision:-03.09.2021.

Avtar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Puja Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Walia, AAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is the first case under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.216 dated 22.06.2021 under Sections 323/341/506/34 IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Patiala.

On 29.07.2021, a Co-ordinate Bench was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Heard through video conferencing.

*The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR
No. 216 dated 22.06.2021, under Sections 323, 341, 506
and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (‘IPC’ - for*

short) (Section 307 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been attributed a solitary blow on the left shoulder of the complainant which was found to be simple in nature. The father of the petitioner was also injured in the occurrence. She has referred to the copy of the MLR of his father at Annexure P2.

Issue notice to the respondent.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

List on 03.09.2021.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the investigating/arresting officer and join investigation.

In the event of his arrest, the investigating/arresting officer shall release the petitioner on ad interim bail subject to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the above order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel re-affirms the fact that the petitioner has

joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 29.07.2021 is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 03, 2021.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.