

228 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-1534-2021

Date of Decision : 08.09.2021

Vikram Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Partap and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab for respondent No.4.
Mr. Sehajbir Singh, Advocate for the respondent No.5.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 18.01.2021 in CWP No.618 of 2021.
3. Learned counsel contends that CWP No.618 of 2021 was disposed of vide order Annexure P/1 dated 18.01.2021 and the competent authority was directed to decide the claim made in legal notice dated 14.12.2020 in accordance with law within two months, but on account of failure of needful to be done within the stipulated period of time, the instant petition was filed but now the competent authority has redressed the grievance of the petitioner by releasing the payment due to the petitioner as

per his entitlement, therefore, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same while learned Counsel for the respondent contends that Respondent No.5 has tendered an unconditional apology for the delay in deciding the claim and prays that in view of the claim having been decided and unconditional apology having been tendered the matter be given a quietus.

4. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel. In view of grievance of the petitioner having been redressed in terms of order, Annexure P/1 dated 18.01.2021 in CWP No.618 of 2021 though beyond the stipulated period of time, statement of learned counsel for the petitioner as also unconditional apology having been tendered by respondent No. 5, the same is accepted.

5. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, the petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against respondent No. 5 under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.09.2021
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No