

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.115

**CRWP No. 6992 of 2021
Date of Decision: 28th July, 2021**

Pran Nath

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks the permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would be moving a fresh representation to respondent No.3- Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, exclusively praying therein for the protection of his life and liberty only and he further prays that the said respondent be directed to take appropriate action on the same within some specific time frame.

Ms. Samina Dhir, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 in this case in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection to the afore-said submission/prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition in hand is dismissed for having

been withdrawn, with the observations that in case, the petitioner moves any fresh representation to respondent No.3 exclusively praying therein for the protection of his life and liberty only, then the said respondent shall look into the same and if the petitioner would be found to be genuinely deserving the protection of his life and liberty, then he would take appropriate action strictly in accordance with law, in the given set of facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of one week.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

28.07.2021.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No