

RAVI HASIJA @ RAVI KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Ghulam Nabi Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Imran Farooqi, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

Present petition is under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner namely Ravi Hasija @ Ravi Kumar in case FIR No.68 dated 25.06.2021, registered under Sections 498-A and 323 IPC, at Police Station City I, Malerkotla, District Sangrur.

On 29.07.2021, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner while inter-alia contending that this is the second marriage of both the parties and the allegations therein are nonspecific as to the time and place when the petitioner had subjected the complainant to any cruelty. It is further submitted that the petitioner is undertaking all the medical expenses of the complainant and also prays that the matter ought to be referred for mediation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab appearing through the medium of video conferencing accepts notice on behalf of the respondent State. Similarly, Mr. Imran Farooqi, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner had subjected her to cruelty and caused injuries to her.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and keeping in mind that this is second marriage of both the parties, deem it appropriate to allow the petitioner to join investigation.

Adjourned to 16.12.2021.

Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation within a period of one week and in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of the interim directions, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On instructions from SI Jagtar Singh, learned State counsel has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, the order dated 29.07.2021, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of.

22.12.2021
renu

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No