

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

(Through Video Conferencing)

CRM-M-29549-2021

Date of decision: 20.09.2021

Gurmeet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. K.S. Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3-State.

Mr. Saajan Singla, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 8.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to take legal action against respondents No.4 to 8 for outraging modesty of the petitioner.

In compliance of order dated 29.07.2021, status report by way of affidavit of Saurav Jindal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-2, Patiala has been filed by the learned State counsel which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Learned State counsel has, while inviting the attention of this Court to the affidavit which has been filed today, submitted that enquiry was initially conducted by the SHO of the police station concerned, however, during enquiry it came to light that there was a property dispute between the petitioner and one Gurdarshan Singh. He

has further submitted that it was in this background both the petitioner and said Gurdarshan Singh had levelled false allegations against each other which during enquiry were found to be incorrect.

Learned State counsel has further submitted that the video submitted by the petitioner reveals that both the petitioner and said Gurdarshan Singh had minor verbal altercation and that too on the road and not inside the house of the petitioner as had been alleged.

Learned State counsel has also submitted that because of the property dispute between the parties and in the light of the verbal altercation which had taken place between them proceedings under Section 107 and 151 of the Cr.P.C. were also initiated.

In the facts and circumstances of the case as enumerated above, this Court does not deem it fit to exercise its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C.

Dismissed.

However, the petitioner is at liberty, if so advised, to avail of alternative remedy as may be available to him under the provisions of law.

20.09.2021

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No