

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

232

CRM-M-29907-2021

Date of decision : 03.08.2021.

SAGAR @ GOLU

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijesh Sharma, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.875 dated 24.12.2018 under Sections 379-B, 395, 397, 483 IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged that five persons looted the complainant and took away his car, mobile phone, Rs.1 lac and other documents. He further contends that the petitioner has been arraigned as an accused on the statement of co-accused and no recovery has been effected from him in this case. He also contends that the petitioner is in custody for over two years and four months and the trial has not commenced due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Mukesh Kumar, states that although charges have been framed but no prosecution witness has been examined. He also contends that the petitioner is involved in several other cases and he is in custody in some of those cases.

Heard.

In view of the above especially when the petitioner is in custody for over two years and four months, the Covid-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 03, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No