

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.29442 of 2021(O&M)
Date of Decision:29.09.2021
(Heard through VC)**

Rishi Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and another

. ..Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. R.K. Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.P. Vashisht, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.115 dated 08.10.2016 registered under Sections 406, 498-A, Indian Penal Code at Women Police Station, District Ludhiana City (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant on the allegations of harassment and ill-treatment at the hands of accused-petitioners on account of demand of dowry. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate

for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

4. Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and of FIR No.115 dated 08.10.2016 registered under Sections 406, 498-A, Indian Penal Code at Women Police Station, District Ludhiana **City** (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

8. While disposing of this petition, it is made clear tht the parties would be bound by the statements given and payment made in accordance

thereto. In case the terms and conditions of the compromise deed are not adhered to, the complainant would be at liberty to approach this Court and have this petition revived.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

September 29, 2021
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No