

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13933-2021

Date of decision : 28.07.2021

Rajni Bala

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari/Mandamus quashing the action of the respondents in ignoring the candidature of the petitioner who is next in merit in the General Category with merit marks 215, against the de-reserved posts of General (ESM) Category and filling up the said de-reserved post by appointing respondent No.4, who belongs to B.C. Category with much lesser merit marks of 200 and to quash his appointment on the post of Lecturer Biology vide order dated 05.09.2019 (P-10) being lower in merit.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that at this stage, his client would be satisfied in case, representation dated 12.03.2020 (P-14) is considered and decided by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

Prayer seems to be justified.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Anu Chatrath, Addl.A.G., Punjab, who is present through video-conference, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3. Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the consensus arrived at between both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 12.03.2020 (P-14), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, preferably within a period of four months from today.

28.07.2021
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No