

110/

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29225-2021

Date of Decision: 27.07.2021

Jaspal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioner seeks a direction to the official respondents to take action against respondents no.10 to 22 “and other unknown persons”, on the basis of a complaint dated 24.06.2021 (Annexure P-5), they (allegedly) having committed a cognizable offence and further, to get the matter investigated as official respondents no. 6 to 9 are (allegedly) in connivance with the private respondents.

Learned counsel submits that despite the petitioner having lodged the report on 01.03.2021 (copy Annexure P-4), to the effect that a theft has taken place at his house, no action is being taken thereon by respondents no.6 to 9, with the petitioner having been told that his complaint would also be inquired into alongwith FIR no.35, registered on 18.02.2021 at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi (copy Annexure P-3), which was so registered on the statement of one Gurvinder Singh, with regard to a separate theft altogether.

On specific query, learned counsel submits that no report has been submitted in that case (so far) under the provisions of Section 173 Cr.P.C.

He submits that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a decision is taken by the SSP, Kapurthala, on his complaint Annexure P-5.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case whatsoever, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the SSP, Kapurthala, to take a decision on the aforesaid representation, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

27.07.2021

dharamvir//

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No