

120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 6911 of 2021

Date of Decision: 26.07.2021

Kirti Devgun and another

-Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajat Dogra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioners have prayed for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for protecting their civil/personal rights and liberties from being invaded by the private respondents. Petitioners are alleged to have solemnized marriage on 22.07.2021 on attaining the age of majority. They feel reasonable apprehension and threat perception at the hands of the private respondents and pray that their personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

Precisely, in the context of aforesaid relief, petitioners have approached the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala,

District Gurdaspur and S.H.O., P.S. Fatehgarh Churian, Batala, District Gurdaspur/respondents No.2 and 3 by way of representation dated 22.07.2021 (through registered post).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3. Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate appears on behalf of respondents No.4 to 6 and submits that the respondents are not against the marriage of the petitioners, rather their anxiety is to ensure well being of petitioner No.1.

Both the parties would be at liberty to appear before respondent No.3/SHO at the first instance. Respondent No.3 would act in accordance with law.

At this stage, without meaning anything in the context of validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.3 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition.

This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case. It would be appreciated if the needful is done

within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

July 26, 2021

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No