

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(127)

**CWP No.13688 of 2021
Date of decision: 27.07.2021**

Vinay Gopal Tripathi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Pankaj Jain, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that certain facts and averments are missing in the present writ petition so as to prove that the petitioner has been caused prejudice as the respondent-University adopted 2018 Rules instead of 2010 Regulations, which should have been followed while selecting candidates in pursuance to advertisement Annexure P/2, hence, he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action with better particulars.

Ordered accordingly.

July 27, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No