

216.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29904-2021

Date of Decision: 23.11.2021

PALWINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Manmeet Singh Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.L. Chander Shekhar, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of DDR No.27, dated 21.12.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 325, 326, 341, 506, 148, 149 of IPC, Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran in FIR No.286, dated 15.12.2020, registered under Sections 307, 341, 34 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-2) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 21.01.2021 (Annexure P-3).

The aforesaid DDR has been registered on the statement of complainant/respondent No.2-Parminder Kaur. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial Court/ILlaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise.

In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Tarn Taran stating that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any sort of pressure from anyone.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the respondents No.2 & 3 admit the factum of compromise. Learned State counsel would submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the DDR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After

considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and DDR No.27, dated 21.12.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 325, 326, 341, 506, 148, 149 of IPC, Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran in FIR No.286, dated 15.12.2020, registered under Sections 307, 341, 34 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-2) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua petitioners.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

23.11.2021

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No