

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1403 of 2021

Date of Decision: 28.07.2021

Kiran Devi

....Petitioner

VS

Rajesh Kumar Bansal

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner had obtained a decree for restitution of conjugal rights. The respondent-husband did not comply therewith and, thus, execution of the same was sought. Vide order dated 18.02.2021, the Principal Judge, Family Court, Barnala has directed attachment of properties mentioned in the list of properties. The said order has been challenged on the ground that three properties were not included in the properties attached.

Learned counsel for the petitioner was pointedly asked whether the properties already attached were not sufficient to satisfy the decree, to which he only states that these properties have already been sold. The statement is not supported by any evidence nor was this point raised before the trial Court. Thus, the contention can not be accepted.

In view of the above, the revision petition has no merit and is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

28.07.2021

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No