

CWP-15140-2021

Date of Decision: 17.08.2021

**SRI SAT SAHIB HOUSING AND INFRASTRUCTURE
DEVELOPMENT**

... PETITIONER

VS.

**FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER AND THE PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT
AND OTHERS**

.. RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present : Mr. Apoorv Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA , J.(ORAL)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Instant writ petition was filed seeking a *mandamus* for directing the 1st respondent i.e. the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary, Town and Country Planning Department, State of Haryana to take up for adjudication a statutory appeal that has been filed by the petitioner under Section 19 of the Haryana Development and Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975.

Writ petition had come up for preliminary hearing on 10.08.2021 and we have requested Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, to complete instructions as regards pendency of the appeal.

During the course of resumed hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions from Shri Apoorv K. Singh, Principal Secretary, Town and Country Planning Department, Government of Haryana, apprises us that the statutory appeal dated 15.07.2020 (Annexure P-4) is still pending consideration and was not taken up for hearing on account of current Covid situation. He further submits that even certain applications were filed alongwith the statutory appeal and which are also pending.

Mr. Moudgil, undertakes that the applications preferred by the petitioner seeking interim directions would be taken up for hearing and decided within a period of six weeks from today and the main appeal dated 15.07.2020 (Annexure P-4) would be decided on merits within a period of three months.

We find the time frame indicated above by the learned State counsel to be just and reasonable.

Statement and undertaking furnished by Mr. Moudgil is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

We may clarify that we have not examined the issue on merits.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

17.08.2021

smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No