

211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.29379 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of decision :09.11.2021

Anish

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Anshumaan Dalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG, Haryana.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.31249 of 2021

Learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant application.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the present application stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

CRM-M No.29379 of 2021

The petitioner herein seeks the relief of regular bail in the criminal case arising out of FIR No.159 dated 02.05.2020 registered at Police Station Kalanaur, District Rohtak under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506 read with Section 34 IPC (wherein the offence under Section 307 IPC is stated to have been added later-on) with the allegations that he as

well as his co-accused caused injuries to complainant-Bhupender@Bhupe with knife etc.

Reply filed on behalf of the respondent-State by way of the affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rohtak is already available on the file and the same is taken on the record.

At this stage, Mr. Kuldeep Chaudhary, Advocate has also appeared on behalf of the complainant in this case and has submitted his power of attorney and the same has also been placed on the file.

I have learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel (assisted by learned counsel for the complainant) in this petition and have also perused the file thoroughly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is behind the bars since 11.05.2020 and is not involved in any other criminal case of the similar nature and moreover, the complainant-injured, the eye-witness named Kapil and another material witness named Balraj, have not supported the version of the prosecution while appearing in the witness-box as PW-1, PW-3 and PW-2 respectively, in the trial Court and he contends that in these circumstances, the petitioner deserves the relief as prayed for in the present petition.

Learned State counsel (assisted by learned counsel for the complainant) does not dispute the afore-referred factual position.

Keeping in view above-discussed facts and circumstances as well as the fact that the trial of the case is likely to take sufficient time to conclude and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the

merits of the case, the petitioner named Anish is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition in hand is allowed accordingly.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

09.11.2021
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No