

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.24166 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:25.01.2021

Lakhbir Singh @ Lakhvir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the complainant.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of Calandara registered vide Rapat No.14 dated 08.06.2020 under Section 145 Cr.P.C. at Police Station Dehlon, Ludhiana (Annexure P-12), orders dated 29.06.2020 (Annexure P-15) and 07.07.2020 (Annexure P-16) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

2. The aforesaid Calandara has been registered on the statement of complainant that attempts had been made by the petitioners herein time and again to interfere with agricultural land of the complainant situated at village Dangora. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the SDM, Ludhiana (East) for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from SDM, Ludhiana (East) stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

4. Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.5 admit the factum of compromise and the counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and Rapat No.14 dated

08.06.2020 under Section 145 Cr.P.C. at Police Station Dehlon, Ludhiana (Annexure P-12), orders dated 29.06.2020 (Annexure P-15) and 07.07.2020 (Annexure P-16) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

January 25, 2021
Pankaj*

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No