125 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29406-2021

Date of Decision: 29.7.2021

Vicky @ Vikram

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana .....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava , Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Virk, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Earlier, the petitioner approached this Court by filing CRM-M-28158-2021 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.135 dated 1.5.2021 under Sections 285, 506 and 304 IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Sadar Narwana, District Jind. After hearing at length, when this Court was not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for withdrawal of the same and the same was allowed to be withdrawn vide order dated 20.7.2021.

However, within a week the petitioner again approached this Court with the same prayer on a frivolous ground that he has got a CD favouring his case. The same is no ground to be accepted as a change in the circumstances.

A perusal of the file shows that there were three more FIRs registered against the petitioner in the past and as such his antecedents do not entitle him for the benefit of the pre-arrest bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

SHARMILA DEVI
2021.07.29 16:45
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
phhc

The present petition is, therefore, dismissed alongwith costs of ₹15,000/- to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association Advocates' Welfare Fund within one month from today.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

29.7.2021
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

SHARMILA DEVI
2021.07.29 16:45
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
phhc