

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.122

CWP-13679-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.07.2021

Deepa Sharma

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. S.K. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rao, Advocate for
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate, for the respondents.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved by email dated 13.07.2020, whereby, it has been stated that the result of the petitioner was cancelled as there is no provision for improvement in the B.Ed course.

Pursuant to notification dated 14.08.2019 (Annexure P-2), the petitioner applied for improvement of score in B.Ed course in six subjects. However, she appeared only in five subjects. The result was declared on 28.02.2020, but so far as, the petitioner is concerned, it was shown as 'cancelled'. Thereafter, the petitioner made a representation through email dated 09.07.2020, which has been responded to vide email dated 13.07.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that notification dated 14.08.2019 (Annexure P-2) makes it abundantly clear that even students of B.Ed course apply for improvement. Thus, impugned email dated 13.07.2020 deserves to be quashed.

The submission is misplaced and misconceived. The entire notification (Annexure P-2) is for two purposes, i.e. re-appear and improvement. Clause-C (V) of the said notification makes it clear that

candidates, who want to improve their scores, could also avail of the opportunity provided such improvement was admissible. Clause-C specifically states that the student is responsible for finding out, whether, he/she is eligible. Thus, before making an application and depositing the fee, the petitioner was required to confirm that she could apply for improvement in the B.Ed course also. Having not done so, she has to suffer the consequences. Learned counsel for the petitioner has failed to show that in fact, the improvement is permissible in the B.Ed course also.

Thus, the writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

27.07.2021

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No