

112

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29360-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.07.2021

Usha

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Robin Dutt, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to respondent Nos.3 and 4 for making arrangement to meet her daughter, who is in custody of respondent Nos.5 and 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the daughter of the petitioner, namely, Ritu has performed run away marriage with Sunil Kumar s/o Mehar Singh @ Mehru (respondent No.5) on 02.07.2021 and is presently residing with respondent Nos.5 and 6. He submits that the petitioner only wants to meet her daughter, who is not opposing the alleged marriage of Ritu with Sunil Kumar and in this regard, two representations were given by her to the SHO, Police Station Radaur, District Yamuna Nagar and to superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar on 03.07.2021 and 09.07.2021 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) respectively, however, till date, no steps have been taken by the official respondents for arranging the meeting of the petitioner with her daughter. He prays that the necessary directions be issued.

At this stage, learned State counsel has pointed out that Ritu and Sunil Kumar had given a request for providing protection to them and they were kept in safe house vide order dated 03.07.2021 passed by Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar. A copy of the said order has been produced. He further submits that later on, the couple stated that they have no threat from anyone and considering their stand, they left the safe house and are presently residing at the house of respondent Nos.5 and 6. The statements of the parties have also been produced.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and perusing the contents of the petition, this Court finds that the petitioner has not made sincere efforts to meet her daughter, but is requesting the police authorities to facilitate her meeting with the daughter. Admittedly, the petitioner is not opposing the alleged matrimonial alliance of the couple, therefore, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercise of inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Dismissed.

28.07.2021
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No