

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.29922 of 2021

Date of decision: 25th November, 2021

Rajender Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Vimal K. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Manish Verma, Advocate for the complainant.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Petitioner Rajender Singh has come up in this third regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.314 dated 17.11.2018 registered under Sections 302, 120-B, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act pertaining to Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula; the earlier two having been dismissed by this Court vide orders dated 15.10.2020 and 29.01.2021.

The allegations in short have come about from complainant Suresh Pal Singh who happens to be brother of deceased Rajbala. Rajbala's son Upender had earlier committed suicide and left two minor daughters and thereafter husband of Rajbala too died. The allegations are

that during the intervening night of 16.11.2018 Rajbala after serving food to the complainant went and slept with her grandsons Vishal @ Divanshu, Ayush @ Chuchu and granddaughter Aishwarya @ Ginni, all deceased. After some time at the dawn of the day, complainant saw that bodies of all the children along with that of Rajbala were lying in different rooms having been shot dead. In the investigations, it was revealed that Rajender Singh in conspiracy with his co-accused Ram Kumar, his relation, got a weapon from Omkar and subsequently the family was shot dead, obviously with an aim to acquire the property of the deceased.

Upon hearing Mr. Vimal Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner; Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana representing the respondent/State assisted by Mr. Manish Verma, Advocate for the complainant and on perusal of the records.

This Court vide detailed order dated 29.01.2021 had dismissed similar bail application of the petitioner. There has been no fresh substantial and reasonable ground for reconsideration of the prayer for grant of bail. The contentions that the petitioner is behind bars since a long time, does not augurs well for the petitioner in view of the enormosity and heinousness of the crime. As per the stand of the State, the main witnesses of the prosecution are yet to be examined and this Court apprehends that if allowed bail, the petitioner would influence the

witnesses. None of the orders pertaining to the co-accused are of any help to the petitioner because of the fact that there is no parity between the case of the petitioner with that of his co-accused. This Court is not inclined to allow bail to the petitioner. The petition as such stands dismissed.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 25, 2021

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No