

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH
108 (PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)**

CWP-14028-2021

Date of decision:21.09.2021

MANJEET KAUR

...PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA***

**Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G. Haryana,
for the State.**

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

In compliance with the order dated 29.07.2021 passed by this Court, an affidavit of the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Ellenabad, District Sirsa dated 09.09.2021 has been filed, wherein it has been stated that the land, which has been suggested by the petitioner, is not accessible as it is located trans-Ghagar, over which there is no bridge nearby and for going to the proposed site through nearest bridge, the land distance from the village would come around 6 Kms. It has further been stated that the basic amenities would be provided after the allotment of the plots as per the Policy dated 01.02.2008 (Annexure R-1). In support of this contention, he has placed reliance upon the concluding para of the said Policy, which

reads as follows:-

“Soon after allotment of plots, the basic facilities viz. Street, drinking water, street light etc. are to be provided. The lay out plan of so selected land be also got prepared, so that the amount to be spent for providing basic amenities may also be assessed.”

In view of the above, we do not find any ground for interfering in the present writ petition. However, the respondents shall ensure that on allotment of the plots, as per the Policy, the basic amenities be provided to the allottees at the earliest.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

September 21, 2021
pj

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No