

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-28761-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 28.10.2021

SONU SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.474 dated 05.12.2020, under Sections 379 IPC (Section 201 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has not attributed any injury. He was alleged to be carrying a danda.

A coordinate Bench of this Court, on 26.07.2021, had passed the following order:-

“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.474 dated 05.12.2020 under Section 379 IPC (Section 201 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

FIR was lodged on the statement of Satnaam Singh, who stated that on 29.11.2020 at about 5.00, he had parked his motorcycle outside Gurudwara Kalgidhar. When he came back at around 7.00 p.m, he found his motorcycle missing.

During the course of investigation, co-accused Rinku was arrested and the stolen motorcycle was recovered from him. It is alleged that co-accused Rinku in his disclosure statement named the petitioner as one of his associates.

It has come on record that the petitioner is involved in five other criminal cases out of which three are registered under NDPS Act, one under the Excise Act besides one being registered under Section 379 IPC in which the petitioner is stated to have been acquitted of charges.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and that his name surfaced in the disclosure statement of co-accused Rinku.

Notice of motion for 28.10.2021.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. The petitioner is also directed to join the investigation and cooperate with the Investigating Agency, as and when required.”

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Ramji Lal, states that the petitioner has joined investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 26.07.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

28.10.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No