

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-29150-2021

Date of decision : 26.08.2021.

Naveen Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Vijay Lakshmi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 289 dated 04.09.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 341, 365, 379-B and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Hansi.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged in the FIR that co-accused, namely, Sunil and Mintu had beaten up the complainant and taken away ₹47,000/- in cash. It is alleged that co-accused Sunil had called one Ajit Pehalwan and the petitioner and asked them whether they should shoot or not. There is no allegation that the petitioner was present at the spot. She also contends that co-accused Sandeep @ Sunil has been granted regular bail by the trial Court and complainant had appeared before the trial Court and made a statement that the FIR had been recorded due to some misunderstanding which is duly recorded in the order of the Sessions Court granting bail to co-accused Sandeep @ Sunil and Mukesh. She further contends the FIR is the outcome of a professional rivalry as the petitioner and

the complainant own liquor vends.

This Court, by order dated 27.07.2021 had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Bhim Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the Covid-19 Pandemic, the order dated 27.07.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 26, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No