

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.114

**CRWP No. 6980 of 2021
Date of Decision: 28th July, 2021**

Ram Lal & Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & Others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks the permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioners would be moving a fresh representation to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Ambala City, exclusively praying therein for the protection of their lives and liberty only and he further prays that the said respondent be directed to take appropriate action on the same within some specific time frame.

Mr. S.S.Pannu, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection to the afore-said submission/prayer of learned counsel for the petitioners.

Resultantly, the petition in hand is dismissed for having

been withdrawn, with the observations that in case, both the petitioners move any fresh representation to respondent No.2 exclusively praying therein for the protection of their lives and liberty only, then the said respondent shall look into the same and if the petitioners would be found to be genuinely deserving the protection of their lives and liberty, then he would take appropriate action strictly in accordance with law, in the given set of facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of one week.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

28.07.2021.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No