

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 6802 of 2021

Date of Decision: 23.07.2021

Heena and another

-Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Manisha Nehra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioners seek protection qua their lives and personal liberties being afraid of respondents No.4 and 5, friends and relatives.

Petitioners (being members of Muslim Community) have performed Nikah on 17.07.2021 and in the context of their threat perception, they have moved representation to respondent No.2 on 17.07.2021 itself (through courier).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, Mr. Anupam Bansal, A.P.P., UT, Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents

No.1 to 3 and states that the police has recorded the statements of respondents No.4 and 5 and they have stated that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and they will not interfere in their matrimonial life.

At this stage, without meaning anything in the context of validity of marriage/Nikah and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to take notice of the representation and the statements of respondents No.4 and 5 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law. If respondent No.2 feels that some corrective steps are still to be taken, the same be taken in the interest of justice.

This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

July 23, 2021

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No